

REMARKS

Claim 1 was objected to as the use of the term "at least two horizontal cross members" The Examiner's suggestion for revision has been adopted by this Amendment and it is requested that this ground of rejection be withdrawn.


Claims 1, 4, 7, 8, 12 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that the applicant regards as the invention.

Reconsideration is requested.

Claim 1 has been amended to avoid the objection to the lack of an antecedent basis for the terms "said connecting rods" and "said shock absorber". Claim 12 has been amended to recite "connecting arms" and "cross members" as plural elements and as such the amended claim is not indefinite. Claim 13 has been amended to delete the reference to "at least one cylindrical hinge" and claim 1 has been amended to recite at least two cylindrical hinges since two connecting rods and two shock absorbers are recited in claim 1. Since these revisions address the only outstanding grounds of rejection, it is requested that the claims be allowed.

An early and favorable action is earnestly
solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



James V. Costigan
Registration No. 25,669

Hedman & Costigan, P.C
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
(212) 302-8989.